
American Transplant Congress

May 31 – June 4, 2008

Abstract Title: Kidney Transplantation in Children and Adolescents an Analysis of OPTN/UNOS database

Brian E Hardy, MD¹, Tariq Shah, MD², James Cicciarelli, PhD², Kevin V Lemley, MD¹, Ian V Hutchinson, PhD, DSc² and Yong W Cho, PhD². ¹Urology and Nephrology, Children Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States and ²National Institute of Transplantation, Los Angeles, CA, United States.

Body: It is very important to investigate whether specific pediatric allocation schemes can not only lead to minimization of waiting time, but also to better clinical outcomes for children with end-stage renal disease (ESRD).

Materials and Methods We analyzed the OPTN/UNOS data for all patients aged 2-20 years who received kidney transplants from living or deceased donors aged 5-35 years between January 1, 1994 and December 31, 2006. Multiple organ transplants were excluded from this study. The outcome of 4,125 deceased donor kidney transplants (DDKT) was compared with those of 6,456 living donor kidney transplants (LDKT) using univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses.

Results Unadjusted graft survival rates of DDKT were significantly lower than those of LDKT (HR=1.53, P<0.001). Chronic rejection was reported in 416 (10.1%) of 4,125 DDKT group compared with 537 (8.3%) of 6,456 LDKT group (P<0.001). Among black recipients, 65 (3.3%) grafts were lost due to non-compliance as a contributory cause of failure compared with 126 (1.5%) among other races (P<0.001). A significantly lower incidence of non-compliance was observed in children (0.9%) compared with adolescent (2.2% in age 10-14, P<0.001) and high teens (2.0% age 15-20, P<0.001). Multivariate analysis showed that adjusted graft survival rates of LDKT were superior to DDKT (HR=1.22, P<0.001) after adjusting for recipient race, recipient age, regrant status, and HLA mismatch.

| Results of univariate and multivariate analyses | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
| | Unadjusted HR (95% CI) | P value | Adjusted HR (95% CI) | P value |
| DDKT vs LDKT | 1.53 (1.42-1.65) | <0.001 | 1.22 (1.12-1.34) | <0.001 |
| Recip race Black vs others | 2.01 (1.85-2.20) | <0.001 | 1.81 (1.66-1.98) | <0.001 |

| | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| Recip Age 10-14 vs 2-9 | 1.47 (1.30-1.66) | <0.001 | 1.43 (1.27-1.62) | <0.001 |
| Recip Age 15-20 vs 2-9 | 1.91 (1.72-2.12) | <0.001 | 1.87 (1.68-2.08) | <0.001 |
| Regraft vs primary | 1.51 (1.35-1.68) | <0.001 | 1.39 (1.24-1.56) | <0.001 |
| HLA mismatch (0-6) | 1.14 (1.11-1.16) | <0.001 | 1.07 (1.04-1.10) | <0.001 |

Conclusion It is recommended that LDKT be offered first to children instead of DDKT.